



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,194	02/19/2004	Sung-Bok Park	678-1158 (P10794)	4104
28249	7590	11/13/2006	EXAMINER	
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553			DHARIA, PRABODH M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/782,194	Applicant(s) PARK, SUNG-BOK	
	Examiner Prabodh M. Dharja	Art Unit 2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because total word count exceeds 150.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

4. **Status:** Please all the replies and correspondence should be addressed to examiner's new art unit 2629. Claims 1-19 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for

Art Unit: 2629

patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Koo (US 2003/0122781 A1).

Regarding Claims 1 and 13 Koo teaches a portable terminal (page 1, paragraph 3, page 3, paragraph 45) for displaying data on a screen (page 3, paragraph 43, right side Lines 1-4), the portable terminal comprising: a video processor for converting the data into a displayable format (page 3, paragraph 43, right side Lines 1-4) according to characteristics (page 4, paragraph 63, Lines 10-12) and size of the screen so that the data can be displayed on the screen (page 3, paragraph 44); a sensing device for sensing rotation of the screen (page 3, paragraph 49), the sensing device generating a sensing signal according to a rotating direction of the screen (page 3, paragraph 49); and a controller for determining the rotating direction of the screen according to the sensing signal (page 3, paragraphs 40), and controlling the video processor to convert a format of the data in an opposite direction (page 1, paragraph 6, see figure 2A, 2B and page 4, paragraphs 54,57, see figures 10,11 it shows picture displayed horizontally and after rotating 90 degree rotation the text has moved from left hand side to right hand side however, data conversion circuitry moves it back to right hand side; that is the apparatus was moved clockwise 90 degrees; however, data starting position moved back 90 degrees anticlockwise to upright position by converting circuitry) of the determined rotating direction in order to display the data in an upright direction (page 3,4, paragraphs 40,48-50).

Regarding Claims 2 and 14 Koo teaches the sensing device generates different sensing signals for first to fourth directions, each representing rotating directions of the screen (page 4, paragraph 57).

Regarding Claims 3 and 15 Koo teaches a rotation angle of the first direction is (0 or 360) degree., a rotation angle of the second direction is 90.degree, a rotation angle of the third direction is 180.degree, and a rotation angle of the fourth direction is 270.degree (see figure 6, page 2, paragraph 36, page 3, paragraph 40, page 4, paragraph 57, and four sensors 38 a-d are located in each corner of the display apparatus, since display apparatus rotated in circle the starting position sensor represents 0 or 360, and each additional sensor represents 90 degrees increment, i.e. 90,180,270 degrees).

Regarding Claims 4 and 16 Koo teaches the controller determines one of the first to fourth directions to be the rotation angle according to the sensing signal (see figures 6,10 and 11, page 2, paragraph 36, page 3, paragraph 40, page 4, paragraph 57).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2629

8. Claims 5,6,12 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koo (US 2003/0122781 A1) as applied to claim 1-4 and 13-16 above, and further in view of Cline et al. (US 4,710,876).

Regarding Claims 5,6,12 and 17-19 Koo teaches rotating directions of the screen and corresponding to different sensing signals for each of first to fourth directions (see figures 6,10 and 11, page 2, paragraph 36, page 3, paragraph 40, page 4, paragraph 57), a key input module for selecting an automatic display mode for displaying the data in the upright direction regardless of the rotating direction of the screen, or a manual display mode for displaying the data in a normal direction regardless of the rotating direction of the screen (see figures 1-3, paragraphs 5,6); and orders the video processor to convert the displayable format of the data to display the data in an upright direction when in the automatic display mode (see figures 10,11, page 1, paragraphs 11,13, page 4, paragraph 60, page 3, paragraph 40, page 2, paragraphs 34,35; and the controller orders the video processor to output the data without converting the format of the data regardless of the rotating direction of the screen when in the manual display mode (see figures 1-3, paragraphs 5,6). However, Koo fails to disclose or recite a memory for storing a lookup table of coordinate values, wherein the coordinate values are based on predetermined rotating directions of the screen. However, Cline et al. a memory for storing a lookup table of coordinate values, wherein the coordinate values are based on predetermined rotating directions of the screen (see figure 9, Col. 9, Lines 12-46, Col. 6, Lines 32-42). The reason to combine Cline et al. with Koo is to be able to not only accurately represent the characteristics of the images but also represent accurate direction of information to be displayed using predetermined coordinate

values from ROM table or LUT. Thus it would have been obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Cline et al. in the teaching of Koo to be able to have an accurate represented direction and characteristic (shading) information to be displayed for a highly complex technology as medical imaging (magnetic resonance imaging and position emission tomography, (see abstract).

9. Claims 7-11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koo (US 2003/0122781 A1) as applied to claim 1-4 and 13-16 above, and further in view of Hansen (US 4,445,011).

Regarding Claim 7-11, Koo fails to disclose liquid guide chamber filled with conductive liquid with sensors detecting movement of the conductive liquid to determine rotational angle along the X-axis and Y-axis. However, Hansen teaches the sensing device (Col. 2, Lines 33-35, contact switches are sensors) comprises: a sensing body (Col. 2, Lines 33-39); a liquid guide chamber provided within the sensing body (Col. 4, Lines 54-57); a plurality of sensing terminals mounted on the sensing body (Col. 4, lines 51-61), wherein the sensing terminals are exposed to an inner surface of the sensing body (Col. 4, lines 54-57); and conductive liquid contained in the liquid guide chamber by a predetermined amount (Col. 4, Lines 54-57), wherein when the portable terminal is rotated, the conductive liquid flows in a direction of gravity to connect a particular sensing terminal to another sensing terminal thereby creating a conductive path for generating the sensing signal (Col. 4, Lines 38-66); wherein the controller determines a rotating (multidirectional) direction of the screen depending on the sensing signal output from the sensing terminals connected by the conductive liquid (Col. 3, Lines 32-38); at least one buffering

Art Unit: 2629

chamber provided to an end of the sensing body, for buffering an abrupt change in the flow of the conductive liquid and two spherical buffering chambers formed at opposite ends of the sensing body ((see figures 8,9 both the end of the tubes are spherical in shape and buffering the movement of the mercury or conductive liquid across it. Ant abrupt change in the movement of the conductive liquid would be buffered by spherical and shape of the tube. The any directional change is processed by electrode Col. 4, Lines 38-66, Col. 4, Line 67 to Col. 5, Line 25); the plurality of sensing terminals comprise: a first sensing terminal mounted in a particular position of the sensing body; a second sensing terminal spaced apart from the first sensing terminal with the liquid guide chamber intervening there between (Col. 5, Lines 2-5, Col. 4, Lines 12-20); a third sensing terminal spaced apart from the first sensing terminal along a length of the sensing body; and a fourth sensing terminal spaced apart from the third sensing terminal with the liquid guide chamber intervening there between (Coll. 4, Lines 21-27, Col. 5, Lines 5-25) and the sensing device includes a first direction sensing element mounted in a top-to bottom direction and a second direction sensing element mounted in a transverse direction of the screen (Col. 4, lines 12-27, see figures 5-9). The reason to combine Hansen with Koo is to have a display with conductive liquid gravity switches for controlling movement of the display images in an X-Y plane on a video display and position of a variable in both positive and negative directions along a single axis (Col. 1, Line 66, to col. 2, Line 4). Thus it would have been obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Hansen in the teaching of Koo to be able to have a display with conductive liquid gravity switches for controlling movement of the display images in an X-Y plane on a video display and position of a

Art Unit: 2629

variable in both positive and negative directions along a single axis applicable in an electronic gaming display.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Drumm (5,068,645) Computer input device using an orientation sensor.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prabodh M. Dharia whose telephone number is 571-272-7668.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM to 5PM.


12. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Prabodh Dharia', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Prabodh Dharia

Partial Signatory Authority Program

AU 2629

November 2, 2006